

IN THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method for generating an undeniable signature (y_1, \dots, y_t) on a set of data, the method comprising the following steps:

[[-]] transforming the set of data $[(m)]$ to a sequence of a predetermined number $[(t)]$ of blocks $(x_1, [\dots, x_t])$, the $[(se)]$ blocks being members of an Abelian group, $[(this)]$ the transformation being a one way function $[(,)]$; and

[[-]] applying to each block $[(x_i)]$ a group homomorphism $[(f)]$ to obtain a resulting value $[(v_i)]$, in which a number of elements of an initial group $[(G)]$ is larger than the number of elements $[(d)]$ of a destination group $[(H)]$.

2. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the initial group $[(G)]$ is formed by a set of invertible integers modulo n, $[(i.e.)]$ denoted as Z_n^* .

3. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 2, wherein the group homomorphism $[(f)]$ computation is based on computation of a residue character (χ) on $[(a)]$ the set of elements invertible integers Z_n^* .

4. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 3, wherein the residue character (χ) computation $[(in)]$ is based on a parameter (π) serving as a key.

5. (Currently Amended) The method according to the claim 4, wherein this key parameter (π) is determined such as by: $\pi * \bar{\pi} = n$, $\bar{\pi}$ being the complex conjugate of π .

6. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 2, wherein the group homomorphism $[(f)]$ computation is determined $[(in)]$ by raising an element of Z_n^* to the power of $r(q-1)$, in which $n = p * q$ such that $p = rd + 1$ and q are prime, $\gcd(r, d) = 1$, $\gcd(q - 1, d) = 1$, then by computing a discrete logarithm.

7. (Original) The method according to claim 6, wherein the group homomorphism is calculated using a factorization of n.
8. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein the length of the signature is dependent of the number of elements of the destination group $[(d)]$ and the number of blocks $[(t)]$.
9. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 4, wherein the parameter $[(\pi)]$ is a secret key on an asymmetric public/secret key pair public/secret.
10. (Currently Amended) A $[(M)]$ method of confirming by a Verifier an undeniable signature (y_1, \dots, y_t) of a set of data $[(m)]$ generated by a Signer taking into account a predefined security parameter $[(k)]$ of the confirmation protocol, this Signer having a public/secret key pair, this method comprising the following steps:
 - $[-]$ obtaining a personal value (ρ) from the Signer, this personal value being part of the public key $(G, H, d, \rho, (e_1, \dots, e_s))$ of the Signer $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ extracting a first sequence of elements (e_1, \dots, e_s) from the public key $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ generating a second sequence of elements (g_1, \dots, g_s) from the personal value (ρ) $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ generating a third sequence of elements (x_1, \dots, x_t) from the set of data (m) $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ randomly picking challenge parameters $r_i \in G$ and $a_{ij} \in Z_d$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, s + t$ and computing a challenge value $u_i = dr_i + a_{11}g_1 + \dots + a_{1s}g_s + a_{i1}[(y)]x_1 + \dots + a_{is} + [(y)]x_t$ $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ sending by the Verifier the challenge value u_j to the Signer $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ receiving from the Signer a commitment value (v_i) , this commitment value (v_i) being calculated by the Signer based on a response value $v_i = f(u_i)$ $[(,)]$;
 - $[-]$ sending by the Verifier the challenge parameters r_i and a_{ij} to the Signer $[(,)]$;

[-] verifying by the Signer whether $u_i = dr_i + a_{i1}g_1 + \dots + a_{is}g_s + a_{is+1}[[y]]x_1 + \dots + a_{is+i}[[y]]x_b$, and in the positive event, opening by the Signer the commitment on the response value $(v_i)[[.,.]]$; and

[-] verifying by the Verifier whether $v_i = a_{i1}e_1 + \dots + a_{is}e_s + a_{is+1}y_1 + \dots + a_{is+i}y_t$.

11. (Currently Amended) A method for denying to a Verifier by a Signer on an alleged non-signature (z_1, \dots, z_t) of a set of data (m) , this signature being supposedly generated according to claim 1 by the Signer, this Signer having a public/secret key pair, this method taking into account a predefined security parameter (ℓ) of the denial protocol and comprising the following steps:

[-] obtaining by the Verifier a personal value (ρ) of the Signer, this personal value being part of the public key $(G, H, d, \rho, (e_1, \dots, e_s))$ of the Signer[[.,.]];

[-] extracting by the Verifier a first sequence of elements (e_1, \dots, e_s) from the public key[[.,.]];

[-] generating by the Verifier and the Signer a second sequence of elements (g_1, \dots, g_s) from the personal value (ρ) [[.,.]];

[-] generating by the Verifier and the Signer a third sequence of elements (x_1, \dots, x_t) from the set of data (m) [[.,.]];

[-] calculating by the Signer [[the]] a true signature (y_1, \dots, y_t) [[.,.]]; and

[-] repeating the following steps ℓ times, ℓ being the predetermined security parameter[[.,.]];

[-] randomly picking by the Verifier challenge parameters $r_j \in G$ and $a_{ji} \in Z_d$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 1, \dots, t$ and $\lambda \in Z_p^*$ where p is the smallest prime dividing $d[[.,.]]$;

[-] computing $u_j := dr_j + a_{j1}g_1 + \dots + a_{js}g_s + \lambda x_j$, and $w_j := a_{j1}e_1 + \dots + a_{js}e_s + \lambda z_j$ for $j = 1 \dots t$; [-];

[-] sending by the Verifier the challenge values u_j and w_j to the Signer[.];

[-] computing by the Signer a response test value $TV_j := (z_j - y_j) \cdot \lambda$;

[-] for each $j = 1$ to t , determining whether the test value $TV_j = 0$; [-];

[-] in the negative event, calculating a test parameter λ_j according to the following formula : $w_j - v_j = \lambda_j(z_j - y_j)$; [-];

[-] determining an intermediate value [[IV]] (IV), [[this]] the intermediate value (IV) being equal to one valid test parameter [[λ]] (λ) and in case of no valid test parameter is found, selecting as the intermediate value (IV) a random value[.]; [-];

[-] sending a commitment value CT based on the intermediate value [[IV]] (IV), to the Verifier[.]; [-];

[-] sending by the Verifier the challenge parameters r_j , a_{ji} and test parameter $[[\lambda]] \lambda$ to the Signer[.]; [-];

[-] verifying by the Signer whether $u_j = dr_j + a_{j1}g_1 + \dots + a_{js}g_s + \lambda x_j$ and $w_j := a_{j1}e_1 + \dots + a_{js}e_s + \lambda z_j$ for $j = 1 \dots t$ hold, in the positive event, the Signer opens the commitment on the intermediate value (IV) to the Verifier[.]; and

[-] verifying by the Verifier that the test parameter $[[\lambda]] \lambda$ is equal to the intermediate value [[IV]] (IV).

12. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11, in which the determination of the valid test parameter comprises [[the]] a check whether $(w_j - v_j)$ and $(z_j - y_j)$ are not equal to 0.

13. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11, in which $j > 1$, the determination of the valid test parameter comprises [[the]] a check whether $(w_j - v_j)$ and $(z_j - y_j)$ are not equal to 0, and that all of the test parameters are the same.